# **EXHIBIT 4**

McCARTER & ENGLISH JUL. 7. 2003 10:45AM

P. 2 NO. 8468

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP Four Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street P.O. Box 652 Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652 (973) 622-4444

OF COUNSEL. DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL D. Scott Wise 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 450-4000 Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaccuticals L.P. DC-3417

Ç, درايـ

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL No. 68 WELFARE FUND,

Plaintiff,

v.

AstraZeneca PLC; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; AstraZeneca LP; Zeneca, Inc.; TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc.; Abbott Laboratories; Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd.; Bayer AG; Bayer Corporation; Miles Laboratories, Inc.; Cutter Laboratories, Inc.; GlaxoSmithKline, P.L.C.; SmithKline Beecham Corporation; Glaxo Wellcome, Inc.; Pharmacia Corporation; Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc.; Monsanto Company; G.D. Searle Company; Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc.; Johnson & Johnson; Alza Corporation; Centocor, Inc.; Ortho Biotech, Inc.; Alpha Therapeutic Corporation; Hoffman La-Roche Inc.; Amgen, Inc.: Immunex Corporation: Aventis Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Aventis Behring L.L.C.; Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.; Centeon, L.L.C.; Armour Pharmaceuticals; Baxter International Inc.; Baxter Healthcare Corporation; Immuno-U.S., Inc.: Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation; Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.; Bedford Laboratories; Roxane Laboratories, Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Oncology Therapeutics Network Corporation; Apothecon, Inc.: Dev. Inc.: Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; Fujisawa Healthcare, Inc.; Fujisawa USA, Inc.; Novartis International AG; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; Sandoz Pharmaceutical Corporation; Schering-Plough Corporation

Civil Action No.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Sicor, Inc.; Gensia Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Wyeth; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals; Saad Antoun, M.D.; Stanley C. Hopkins, M.D.; Robert A. Berkman, M.D.; Does I-50; ABC Corporations 1-50; and XYZ Partnerships; and Associations 1-50,

ACAS MED Welliam I. Malling

2000 北一マ 戸 5-30 Defendants

THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT TÖ. FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

The Notice of defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P. respectfully shows:

- On or about June 30, 2003, plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers, 1. Local No. 68 Welfare Fund filed a class action captioned International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund v. AstraZeneca PLC et. al, in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Equity Division, Monmouth County, bearing Docket No. MON-C-193-03 (the "Complaint"). National counsel for AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P. ("AstraZeneca") received a copy of the Complaint on July 1, 2003.
- Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), and submitted with this Notice of Removal is a 2. true and correct copy of all pleadings, process and orders received by AstraZeneca in the state court action. See Copies of Pleadings, etc., Tabs 1 and 2.
- Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), AstraZeneca has filed a copy of this Notice of 3. Removal with the Clerk of the Superior Court of New Jersey in the County of Monmouth. AstraZeneca is also serving plaintiff with a copy of this Notice of Removal.

#### The AWP MDLs In Boston

This case is virtually identical to dozens of cases that have been transferred and 4. consolidated from district courts throughout the country to two Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") proceedings, In re Pharmaceutical Average Wholesale Price Litigation, MDL 1456, which is currently pending before Judge Patti Saris in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and In re Lupron Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation, MDL 1430, which is

NO. 8468 P. 4

- currently pending before Judge Richard Steams in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.
  - 5. Like this case, many of these near-identical cases were originally filed in state courts and then removed on the same or similar grounds as this case. With respect to defendant AstraZeneca, state court actions with virtually identical factual allegations have been removed to other district courts nationwide. Virtually all of those cases, the district courts stayed the cases pending transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to the MDL proceedings in Boston.<sup>1</sup>

#### The Allegations of the Class Action Complaint

The Complaint alleges claims against virtually the entire pharmaceutical industry based on the use of "Average Wholesale Price" ("AWP"). It points out although federal administered government assistance programs (i.e., the federal Medicare program) do not generally cover prescription drug costs, they "typically do cover the cost of drugs administered by or under the supervision of a medical physician," see Complaint ("Cplt.") ¶ 2, including cancer, inhalant, and miscellaneous other drugs, see id. ¶ 2-13. "AWP is used by government and private assistance programs [as the basis, in whole or in part,] for reimbursement" of the cost of such drugs. Id. ¶ 108; see also id. ¶ 109; 42 C.F.R. § 402.517 (providing that health care providers are reimbursed for Medicare Part B drugs based on the lower of the actual charge on the claim for benefits or 95 percent of the Average Wholesale Price). According to the Class Action Complaint, pharmaceutical manufacturers report AWPs for their drugs to private, third-

¹ Specifically, the courts issued stays in the following seven AWP actions: (i) Geller v. Abbout Labs., Inc. et al., Case No. CV 02-00553 DDP (C.D. Cal. Mar. 22, 2002) (Pregerson J.) ("The Court finds that all factors, including the jurisdictional issues presented and the potentially expansive nature of this litigation, favor granting the stay"); (ii) Montana v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al., Case No. CV 02-09-H-DWM (D. Mont. June 21, 2002) (Molloy I.) ("In this case, the benefit of judicial economy and consistency among pretrial rulings outweighs any prejudice plaintiff may suffer as a result of a stay."); (iii) Nevada v. American Home Prods., Inc., No. CV-N-02-202-ECR (D. Nev. Inl. 26, 2002) (Reed J.); (iv) Thompson v. Abbott Labs. Inc. et al., Case No. CGC-02-411813 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2002) (Wilken J.); (v) Rice v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al., Case No. C 02-3925 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 26, 2002) (Jenkins J.); (vi) Virag v. Allergan, Inc. et al., Case No. 02-8417 RSWL (C.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2003) (Lew J.); (vii) Turner v. Abbott Labs.; et. al., Case No. 02-CV-050006 (Jenkins, J.) (order granting stipulation by parties regarding stay of all proceedings).

- party pharmaceutical industry publications, such as the *Red Book*, Cplt. ¶ 110, and government and private assistance programs "have announced publicly that they would use the AWP published in pharmaceutical industry publications as a basis for reimbursement, in whole or in part." Cplt. ¶ 109.
- 7. Plaintiff further alleges that defendants "engaged in a fraudulent scheme and conspiracy to deliberately inflate the AWPs for cancer, inhalant and miscellaneous other drugs and other prescription drugs," Cplt. ¶ 108, thereby causing plaintiff and other members of the putative class to "pa[y] more for these drugs than [they] otherwise should have." Cplt. ¶ 113; see also Cplt. ¶ 14, 16.
- Plaintiff has brought this suit as a class action on behalf of "[a]ll persons and entities in New Jersey and throughout the county who, during the period beginning at least 1991 through the present, paid any portion of the cost of cancer, inhalant and miscellaneous other drugs manufactured, marketed, distributed and sold by Defendants, which cost was based, in whole or in part, upon the published AWPs for such drugs." Cplt. ¶ 99. Thus, Plaintiff's putative class includes Medicare beneficiaries, as well as entities who have paid the co-payments for Medicare Part B drugs on behalf of such beneficiaries. Plaintiff's putative class also includes thousands, if not millions, of ERISA participants, beneficiaries, and plans.
- 9. Plaintiff asserts claims for unjust enrichment, fraud, civil conspiracy, concert of action, and violations of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act. See Cplt. ¶ 284-321. Plaintiff seeks recovery of damages, restitution, injunctive relief, treble damages, and punitive damages. See Cplt. ¶ 17; Prayer for Relief.

### Removal Based on Federal Question Jurisdiction

10. This Court has original jurisdiction over this civil action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because it arises under the laws of the United States. Specifically, federal question jurisdiction

McCARTER & ENGLISH JUL. 7.2003 10:46AM exists in this action because plaintiff's state law claims are completely preempted by ERISA and because plaintiff's state law claims require the resolution of substantial federal questions.

ERISA Preemption

- A state law claim that is completely preempted by ERISA may be removed to 11. federal court because such a claim "arises under the laws of the United States" and is within the "original jurisdiction" of the district courts. Because plaintiff's claims "relate to" an ERISAqualified employee benefit plan, see 29 U.S.C. § 1144(a), and because they fall within ERISA's civil enforcement provision, see 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), ERISA completely preempts plaintiff's state law claims.
- The named plaintiff in this case is itself an ERISA-qualified employee pension 12. plan. Plaintiff's claims necessarily "relate to" ERISA-qualified employee benefit plans because they bear upon numerous ERISA-regulated relationships, including those among and between the ERISA plan and the ERISA plan participants, beneficiaries, and fiduciaries. Plaintiff also acknowledges that the purported class includes "Private Assistance Patients" "who subscribe to and rely on private health insurance carriers and programs for the partial payment of their medical care and treatment." Cplt. ¶ 1. Many of these "private assistance programs" are insurance plans that are operated under the terms of ERISA-qualified employee benefit plans. The purported class also includes "entities" "who overpaid as a result of Defendants' fraudulent scheme." See id. These "entities" include other ERISA plans as well as self-insured employers who maintain ERISA plans with prescription drug benefit programs for their employees. Furthermore, many of these self-insured employers may serve as ERISA fiduciaries for their plans or be ERISA plans themselves.
- Plaintiff's claims also "relate to" ERISA plans because the claim will require 13. analysis of thousands of ERISA plans. ERISA plans often define the terms and conditions under which the plan or its fiduciary (or a third party administrator) will cover and pay out benefits for prescription drugs for plan beneficiaries and participants. Plaintiff's claims on behalf of ERISA-

- JUL. 7. 2003 10:46AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

  covered patients and employers will involve the interpretation and analysis of the terms in thousands of ERISA plans.
  - brought by a "participant, beneficiary or fiduciary" to enjoin or redress "any act or practice which violates any provision of [ERISA] or the terms of the [ERISA-qualified employee benefits] plan." 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3). Plaintiff's class action necessarily seeks to redress, on behalf of ERISA participants, beneficiaries, and fiduciaries, an alleged "act or practice" that may violate "the terms" of an ERISA-qualified employee benefit plan. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3). Accordingly, plaintiff's state law claims are encompassed by ERISA's civil enforcement provisions, subject to defenses that may be asserted in a motion to dismiss under Rule 12, or otherwise.
  - 15. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims that may not be completely preempted by ERISA. See 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) ("[T]he district courts shall also have supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims that are so related to claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy....").

#### Substantial Federal Question

- 16. This Court has original jurisdiction over this federal action under 28 U.S.C. §
  1331, because it arises under the laws of the United States. Specifically, federal jurisdiction exists in this action because the state law claims brought by plaintiff on behalf of "government assistance patients," who include Medicare beneficiaries in the State of New Jersey, raise substantial federal questions in that they require the resolution of issues relating to the federal Medicare program. See Franchise Tax Bd. v. Constr. Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 9, 28 (1983) (holding that federal question jurisdiction exists whenever "the plaintiff's right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial question of federal law").
- 17. The state law fraud and consumer protection claims asserted by plaintiff on behalf of "government assistance patients," who include Medicare beneficiaries, necessarily depend on

- an interpretation of the federal statute and regulations governing Medicare Part B reimbursement, which explicitly derive reimbursement (and a beneficiary's 20% co-payment) to a drug's AWP. Plaintiff cannot recover on its state law fraud claims brought on behalf of Medicare beneficiaries who made a co-payment for any Medicare Part B covered drug unless it proves its fundamental assertion that the reported AstraZeneca AWP was artificially inflated as the term AWP has been interpreted under the federal Medicare reimbursement statute and regulations.
- 18. This court has supplemental jurisdiction over all of plaintiffs' AWP claims on behalf of non-Medicare beneficiaries or entities pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

#### Consent to Removal

19. Consent to removal is required only for served defendants. AstraZeneca has conducted a reasonably diligent inquiry, and based on this investigation, is informed and believes that, as of the date of this Notice, none of the other named defendants has been serve with the Class Action Complaint. Counsel for AstraZeneca is further informed and believes, based on this investigation, that all defendants will consent to this removal and will join herein following the service of process.

JUL. 7. 2003 10:47AM

McCARTER & ENGLISH

IO. 8468 P. 9

WHEREFORE, defendant AstraZeneca respectfully requests that this case proceed in this Court as an action properly removed thereto.

Dated: July 3, 2003

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca

Pharmaceuticals L.P.

By:

DAVID . COONER A Member of the Firm

OF COUNSEL

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL

D. Scott Wise

NWK2: 1065250.01

JUL. 7. 2003 10:47AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 10

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP Four Gateway Center, 100 Mulberry Street P.O. Box 652 Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652 (973) 622-4444

OF COUNSEL: DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL D. Scott Wise 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 450-4000

Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P. DC-3417

v.

ż

WILLIAM TENTAL COSTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL NO. 68 WELFARE FUND,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

AstraZeneca PLC; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; AstraZeneca LP; Zeneca, Inc.; TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc.; Abbott Laboratories; Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd.; Bayer AG; Bayer Corporation; Miles Laboratories, Inc.; Cutter Laboratories, Inc.; GlaxoSmithKline, P.L.C.; SmithKline Beecham Corporation; Glaxo Wellcome, Inc.; Pharmacia Corporation; Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc.; Monsanto Company; G.D. Searle Company; Sanoff-Synthelabo Inc.; Johnson & Johnson; Alza Corporation; Centocor, Inc.; Ortho Biotech, Inc.; Alpha Therapeutic Corporation; Hoffman La-Roche Inc.; Amgen, Inc.; Immunex Corporation; Aventis Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Aventis Behring L.L.C.; Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.; Centeon, L.L.C.; Armour Pharmaceuticals; Baxter International Inc.; Baxter Healthcare Corporation; Immuno-

COPIES OF ALL PROCESS, PLEADINGS AND ORDERS IN STATE COURT JUL. 7. 2003 10:47AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 11

U.S., Inc.; Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation; Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.; Bedford Laboratories; Roxane Laboratories, Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Oncology therapeutics Network Corporation; Apothecon, Inc.; Dey, Inc.; Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; Fujisawa Healthcare, Inc.; Fujisawa USA, Inc.; Novartis International AG; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; Sandoz Pharmaceutical Corporation; Schering-Plough Corporation Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Sicor, Inc.; Gensia Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Wyeth; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals; Saad Antoun, M.D.; Stanley C. Hopkins, M.D.; Robert A. Berkman, M.D.; Does 1-50; ABC Corporations 1-50; and XYZ Partnerships; and Associations 1-50.

ANTITUTE STORESTA

#### Defendants

Attached hereto and made a part thereof are the following: (1) a copy of the Summons and Complaint received by defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P. (Exhibit A), and (2) all pleadings in connection with plaintiffs order to show cause for preliminary injunction against AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P. and Dr. Saad Antoun (Exhibit B), which were filed in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Monmouth County, on June 30, 2003. This defendant is aware of no other process, pleadings or orders filed in this matter.

5.43,2003

TO: Clerk
Superior Court of New Jersey
71 Monument Park
P.O. Box 1262
Court House, East Wing
Freehold, NJ 07728-01262

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmacouticals L.P.

> DAVID J. COONER A Member of the Firm

JUL. 7. 2003 10:47AM

McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 12

Shanin Specter, Esq. Kline & Specter 1800 Chapel Avenue, Suite 302 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

John E. Keefe, Esq. Lynch Martin 830 Broad Street Shrewsbury, NJ 07702

Raymond A. Gill, Jr., Esq. Gill & Chamas 655 Florida Grove Road P.O. Box 760 Woodbridge, NJ 07095 JUL. 7.2003 10:47AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 13

RECEIVED WILLIAM T. YAM SIL CLERK

1003 JUL -7 P 13 33

THE STATE

McCarter & English, LLP Four Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street P.O. Box 652 Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652 (973) 622-4444 Attorneys for Defendant

AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, L.P.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINGEERS, LOCAL NO. 68 WELFARE FUND,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

AstraZeneca PLC; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; AstraZeneca LP; Zeneca, Inc.; TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc.; Abbot Laboratories; Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd; Bayer AG; Bayer Corporation; Miles Laboratories, Inc.; Cutter Laboratories, Inc.; GlaxoSmithKline, P.L.C; SmithKline Beecham Corporation; Glaxo Wellcome, Inc; Pharmacia Corporation; Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc.; Monsanto Company, G.D., Scarle Company; Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc.; Johnson & Johnson; Alza Corporation; Centocur, Inc., Ortho Biotech, Inc; Alpha Therapeutic Corporation; Hoffman La-Roche Inc.; Amgen, Inc.; Immunex Corporation; Avenus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Aventis Behring L.L.C.; Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.; Centeon, L.L.C. Armour Pharmaceuticals; Baxter International Inc.; Baxter Healthcare Corporation; Immuno-U.S., Inc.; Bothringer Ingelheim Corporation; Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc.; Bedford Laboratories; Roxane Laboratories, Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Oncology Therapcutics Network Corporation; Apothecon, Inc.; Dey, Inc.; Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd; Fujisawa Healthcare, Inc.; Fujisawa USA,

Civil Action No.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

JUL. 7.2003 10:48AM

NO. 8468 P. 14

Inc.; Novartis International AG; Novartis
Phamraceutical Corporation; Sandoz
Pharmaceutical Corporation; Schering-Plough
Corporation; Warrick Pharmaceuticals
Corporation; Sicor, Inc.; Gensia Sicor
Pharmaceuticals, Inc; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals;
Saad Antoun, M.D.; Stanley C. Hopkins,
M.D.; Robert A. Berkman, M.D.; Does 1-50;
ABC Corporations 1-50; and XYZ
Partnerships; and Associations 1-50,

Defendant.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY )
) s.s:
COUNTY OF ESSEX )

DIANA ATKINSON, of full age, being duly sworn according to law, upon her oath deposes and says:

- 1. I am a legal secretary employed by the law firm of McCarter & English, LLP, counsel for defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, L.P. in this action.
- 2. On this day, I caused to have filed, by hand delivery, with the Clerk, United States District Court, District of New Jersey, Martin Luther King, Jr. Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse, 50 Walnut Street, Newark, New Jersey, the original and three (3) copies of a Notice of Removal, Copies of All Process, Pleadings and Orders in State Court, and a Civil Cover Sheet, and a copy of a Notice of Filing of Removal, on behalf of defendant defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, L.P.
- 3. On this day, I caused to have filed, by overnight mail, copies of all of the aforementioned Notice of Filing of Removal, to which was attached a copy of the Notice of Removal, at the Clerk's Office, New Jersey Superior Court, Monmouth County Courthouse, One East Main Street, Freehold, New Jersey 07728.

McCARTER & ENGLISH JUL. 7. 2003 10:48AM

ÑO, 8468 TP. 15

4. On this day, I caused to have served, by overnight mail, copies of all of the aforementioned documents to counsel as follows:

> D. Scott Wise, Esq. Davis Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 Phone: (212) 450-4000 Fax (212) 450-5158

John E. Keefe, Jr. Lynch, Martin, Kane, Kuper, Keefe & Bartels, LLC 830 Broad Street

Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702

Phone: (732) 224-9400 Fax: (732) 224-9494

Raymond A. Gill, Jr., Esq. Gill &Chamas 655 Florida Grove Road Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 Phone: (732) 324-7600 Fax: (732) 324-7606

Donald E. Haviland, Jr., Esq. Kline & Specter 1525 Locust Street, 19th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 Phone: (215) 772-1000 Fax: (215) 772-1359

Honorable Clarkson S. Fisher, Jr., P.J. Ch. Superior Court Of New Jersey Monmouth County Hall of Records One East Main Street Freehold, NJ 07728 Phone: (732) 431-7135 Fax: (732) 845-2029

One this day, I further caused to be served, by regular mail, copies of the 5.

aforementioned documents to counsel on the attached list.

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 3rd day of July, 2003.

Notary Public

MUID J COMER A TTOLMEY AT LAW STATE OF NEW TURSEY 3

NWK2, 1063072.01

Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS

NO. 8468 P. 16

McCARTER & ENGLISH JUL. 7. 2003 10:48AM

> Michael T. Scott, Esq. Reed Smith LLP 2500 Liberty Place 1650 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7301 National counsel for defendant, Pujisawa USA, Inc., Fujisawa Healthcare, Inc., Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

John M. Spinnato, Vice President & General Counsel SANOFI-SYNTHELABO, INC. 90 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016 National counsel for defendant, SANOFI-SYNTHELABO, Inc.

Kirke M. Hasson, Esq. Albert G. Lin, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop, LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94105 National counsel for defendants, Gensia Sicor Pharmaceauticals, Inc., Sicor Inc.

David J. Cerveny, Esq. Hale & Dom, LLP 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 National counsel for defendants, Novartis International AG, Novartis Pharmaceutical Corp., Sandoz Pharmaccutical Corp.

Colleen M. Hennessey, Esq. Pcabody & Arnold, LLP 30 Rowes Wharf Boston, Massachusetts 02210 National Counsel for defendant, Hoffman La-Roche, Inc.

Nancy L. Nowman, Esq. Knapp, Peterson And Clarke 500 North Brand Boulevard Glendale, California 91203 National counsel for defendant, Alpha Therapeutic Corp.

Paul Scheifman, Esq. Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105 – 2118 National counsel for defendant, Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.

Robert R. Stauffer, Esq. Jenner & Block One IBM Plaza, 45<sup>th</sup> Floor 330 N. Wabash Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611 National counsel for defendant, Takeda Chemical Industries, Ltd.

Brian T. O'Connor, Esq. John T. Montgomery, Esq. Kirsten V. Mayer, Esq. David C. Potter, Esq. Ropes & Gray One International Place Boston, Massachusetts 02110 National counsel for defendants, Schering-Plough Corporation, Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation

David J. Burman, Esq. Kathleen M. O'Sullivan, Esq. Zoc Philippides, Esq. Perkins Coie, LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800 Scattle, Washington 98101-3099 National counsel for defendant. Immunex Corporation

DPW 13-10

McCARTER & ENGLISH JUL. 7. 2003 10:48AM

NO. 8468 P. 17

Michael Mustokoff, Esq. Duane Morris LLP One Liberty Place, Suite 4200 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7396 National counsel for defendant, Saad Antoun, M.D.

Thomas P. McGonigle, Esq. Duane Morris LLP 1100 North Market Street Suite 1200 Wilmington, Delaware 19801-1246 National counsel for defendant, Sadd Antoun, M.D.

Jack Fernandez, Esq. Zuckerman, Spacder, LLP 101 E. Kennedy Blvd. Suite 1200 Tampa, Florida 33602 National counsel for defendant, Stanley C. Hopkins, M.D.

John C. Dodds, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bochius, LLP 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2921 National counsel for defendant, G.D. Searle, Monsato Company, Pharmacia Corporation, Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc.

Terry K. Sherman, Esq. 52 West Whittier Street Columbus, Ohio 43206 National counsel for defendant, Robert A. Berkman, M.D.

Scott A. Stempel, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bochius, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20004-2921 National counsel for defendants, G.D. Scarle, Monsanto Company, Pharmacia Corporation, Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc.

S. Craig Holden, Esq. Connic E. Eiseman, Esq. Ober, Kaler Grimes & Shriver 120 E. Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202 National counsel for defendants, Wyeth, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals

Daniel E. Reidy, Esq. Jones Day Reavis & Pogue 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692 National counsel for defendant, TAP Pharmaceutical Products Inc.

Stephen M. Hudspeth, Esq. Darrell Prescott, Esq. Lisa Lewis, Esq. Leila Pittaway, Esq. Coudert Brothers LLP The Grace Building 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-7703 National counsel for defendant, Dey, Inc.

Ø 017

JUL. 7. 2003 10:48AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

"NO. 8468 P. 18

Paul J. Coval, Esq.
Douglas L. Rogers, Esq.
Vorys, Sater, Vorys, Sater,
Seymour and Pease, LLP
52 Bast Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1003
National counsel for defendants,
Bedford Laboratories, Ben Venue
Laboratories, Inc., Boehringer
Ingelheim Corporation, Roxane
Laboratories

Dennis M. Duggan, Jr. Esq.
Nixon Peabody, LLP
101 Federal Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
National counsel for defendant,
Alpha Therapeutic Corp.

Richard D. Raskin, Esq.
David C. Giardina, Esq.
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood, LLP
Bank One Plaza
10 S. Dearborn Street, 48th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603
National counsel for defendants,
Bayer AG, Bayer Corporation

Merle M. DeLancey, Jr., Esq.
Robert J. Higgins, Esq.
J. Andrew Jackson, Esq.
Dickstein, Shapiro Morin &
Oshinksky, LLP
2101 L. St. NW
Washington, DC 20037
National counsel for defendants,
Baxter Healthcare Corporation,
Baxter International Inc.

Kathleen M. McGuan, Esq.
Andrew L. Hurst, Esq.
Reed Smith, LLP
1301 K Street NW
Suite 1100- East Tower
Washington, DC 20005
National counsel for defendant,
Fujisawa USA, Inc., Fujisawa
Healthcare, Iuc., Fujisawa
Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

Steven M. Edwards, Esq.
Lyndon M. Tretter, Esq.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.
875 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
National counsel for defendants,
Amgen, Inc., Apothecon, Inc.,
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company,
Aventis Behring L.L.C., Aventis
Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Oncology
Therapeutics Network
Corporation

Joseph H. Young, Esq.
Steven F. Barley, Esq.
Hogan & Hartson, LLP
111 S. Calvert St., Suite 1600
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
National counsel for defendants,
Amgen, Inc., Apothecon, Inc.,
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company,
Aventis Behring L.L.C., Aventis
Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Oncology
Therapeutics Network
Corporation

Andrew D. Schau, Esq.
Patterson, Belknap, Webb &
Tyler, LLP
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-6710
National counsel for defendants,
Johnson & Johnson, Centocor,
Inc., Ortho Biotech

JUL. 7. 2003 10:49AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 19

Joshua T. Buchman, Esq.
McDermott, Will & Emery
227 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606-5096
National counsel for defendant,
Abbott Laboratories

RECEIPT#

8/03 18:30 FAX 2	212 450 5550	<u>DPW</u>	13-10	<u> </u>		M 018	
_JUL. 7. 2003 10:4	19AM McCARTE	R & ENGLISH			NO. 8468	P. 20	
JUL. 7. 2003 10.	7, 111					•	
		CIVIL	OVE	R SHEET			
ne JS-44 civil cover sheet	and the information co	ntained herein nel	ther rapi	lace nor supplement the want by the Judicial Confe	filing and service of plea mence of the United Stat	idings of other papers a es in September 1974, i	
te JS-44 civil cover sheet quired by law, except as p quired for the use of the Ck	rovided by local rules : enk of Court for purpose	of regrative and co	nocke	sheet (SEE INSTRUCTI	ONS ON THE REVERSE	OF THE FORM.)	
.(a) FLAINTIFFS			元の社	DEFENDANTS			
(atamational Union of Operati					ale ID misi		
International Union of Operati	ng Engineers, Local No. (	e Meusis-find - 2	<i>}.</i> 🔎	AstraZoneca Pharmacentic	ara, w.t., 54 dd.		
(b) county of residence of Fi	rst listed planter	罗斯斯斯		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIR	ST LATED DEFENDANT		
Inverto	TIN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ASES)		. (in	U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)	
(EXCEP	) NO. O. P. CHIEFER	, come,		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEM	NATION CASS, USE THE LO	CATION OF THE TRACT OF	
(C) ATTORNEY'S (FERMINAME,	LIDORESS AND TELEPHONE NU	<b>ब</b> काहम)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	D. Scort W	ica Tra	
See Attached				David J. Cooner, Esq. McCarter & English, LLF	Davis Palk	& Wardwell	
				100 Mulherry Street Newark, NJ 07102 (973)		the Avenue   NY 10017 (212) 450-400	
			i ur est	NZENSHIP OF PRINCIPA	L Dannes		
H. BASIS OF JURISDICTI	JM (PLACE AN	"X" (A' ONE BOX ONLY)		or Diversity Caces Only)	AND ONE	"X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF OX FOR DEFENDANT)	
and the second of the second o	23 Federal Quantit	545	Citizen	TT C Dade mith	DEF 1 Incorporated or Pri	PTF C ncipal Place 114 C	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. GOVA	mment Not a Party		of Another State D 2	of Business in Th		
© 2 U.5, Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Ci in term tit)	dizenship of Padles			of Business in An	other Smile	
				or Subject of a 2 3 gn Country	3 Foreign Halional	9 · L	
Proceeding  V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON	E BOX ONLY)		(\$9467)		mont not most	
CONTRACT		TORTS PERSONAL INJURY		PORFERTURAL PENALTY  [1] 610 Agricultura	BANKRUPTUT  B 422 Appen 26 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  [1 400 Size Respectionners	
170 (MALESTON	PERMICALLIMATELY .	(2) 362 Parentel Injury Mag. Malportion		G 620 Other Front & Desg	C 428 Whatered	1 410 Antitions.	
120 Miller Auf 110 Nagolińskie kastronomi	115 Airpane Product Linbley	265 Personal Mary		of Property 21 USG 801	PROPERTY RICHTS	450 Communation Rates in	
150 Recovery of Overpayment  4 Enforcement of Jurigmans	(2 520 Amerik Librat % Standorf	Product Listably  359 Assesses Person	url_	PART 2 PR 042	E20 Copyrights  G 650 Patent	2 470 Recueleer influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
161 Modicare Act	(1 590 Federal Employers Cheffy	Injury Product Lis	ab alty	O 650 Aldem Regs	SKi Tradamark	🔲 810 Sejeche Santca	
Staniani Lemma	S40 Werline  545 Werline Product	Paramei propulty [] [72 Caber Franci		Section 4400		Extrade Extra Second Se	
(Ded Vels-ant)  153 Receiving of Overpayment		371 Trush in Londing		1	ì	12 198C 3410	
of Valency's Benefité  ☐ 160 Succidentions Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vahida ☐ 355 Motor Vahida	Property Demicy	•	Lenck	SCOAL PECUNITY	D 801 Agricultural Acts	
190 Other Contract	Product intellity	Sect Property Coming Product Links	<b>P</b>	718 Fair Labor Standar VI AC	(1996f)	☐ \$32 Gaseswic Mathicules As	
105 Comment Product Linking	560 Other Personal	•			[] 662 Black Lung (922)	D pys (Sevimentalial Mateur	
REAL PROPERTY	EZYEL PROHITE	Print Greek PRUP	Oslai Ca	720 Lubochigmi Reinikom  T 730 Lubochigmi Renginira	D SEE CHANGEMAN (ACTION)	The flower Assertion Act  the Francisco of Information A	
210 Land Continuentation 220 Forecic Mits	441 Voting 442 Suphyment	Sterlande	-	& Obsessorates Acce	SES RE MIM (M) FEMBRAL TAX SUITS	1 SOO Appeal of For Determina Under Equal Access to A	
130 Rem Lease & Ejeckment	Accommodations	HASEAS CORPLIC		740 Patienty Labor Act	1 820 Terms (U.B. Plakilli	☐ 950 Countrilloredly of	
245 Toris to Land	1 444 Wolfers	135 Death Pennils	_	7121 shapi Ratino	or Calendard  371 SQS Trans Party	Sinte Statutes  190 Other Businey Actions	
230 All Other Real Property	A45 Other Civil Rights	C 646 Marximus & C SSO Civil Rights D 855 Prison Condition		Security Act	58 USC 7909		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	(CITE THE U.S. CAVIL S	TATUTE UNDER WHI	CHYOUA	RE FLING AND WRITE BRIEF	8TATEMENT OF CAUSE		
ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1144	DO NOT CITE JURISON (a) and 1132(a) Plaint	ctional statutes i iff's cisites relate (	o sa Er	ISA-qualified employes	benefit plan		
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A C	LASS ACTION	DEMA	NO S some specified	CHECK YES only	f demanded in complaint	
7 (III I — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2			•	JURY DEMAND	MYES □ NO	
	(See Instructions):	n Re Pharmaceutik	al Indus	stry Average Wholesale Pi	rice Litigation		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY		ami Sarje, U.			DOCKET NUMBER MD	L 1456 (D. Mars) . A. No. 01-12257-PBS	
DATE / / _	SIG	NATURE OF APPEN	EY OF RE	GDPA.		*	
7/3/03		14					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	*	, .					

JUL. 7. 2003 10:49AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 21

International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, L.P.

Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet

#### I.(c) Plaintiff's Attorneys

Shanin Specter, Esq. Kline & Specter 1800 Chapel Avenue, Suite 302 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 424-9162

John E. Keefe, Esq. Lynch Martin 830 Broad Street Shrewsbury, NJ 07702 (732) 224-9400

Raymond A. Gill, Jr., Esq. Gill & Chamas 655 Florida Grove Road P.O. Box 760 Woodbridge, NJ 07095 (732) 324-7600 JUL. 7. 2003 10:49AM McCARTER & ENGLISH

NO. 8468 P. 22

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44 Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other pages as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- i. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle Initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 6(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the power. If there is more than one beats of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suitas by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendent. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to cuits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of catterphip. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are clittens of different states. When Box 4 is checked the citizen ship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Origin. Place an "X" is one of the seven bootts.

Original Proceedings, (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened (4) Check this bux for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under the authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Mediatrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

V. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section IV above, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suits. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause.

VII. Requested in Compiaint. Class Action. Place an 'X' in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Chack the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, intent the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.